

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 33

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Ex parte TETSURO MOTOYAMA

**Appeal No. 2003-0218
Application 09/108,705**

MAILED

SEP 30 2003

**U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

Applicant filed on September 28, 2003 an Information Disclosure Statement (“IDS”)

(Paper No. 32). It is not apparent from the record that the examiner considered the IDS that was submitted nor notified applicant in writing that it has been considered.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application be remanded to the examiner for the consideration of the IDS filed on September 28, 2003, notification to applicant regarding such consideration, and any further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2003-0218
Application 09/108,705

**It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed
promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening
prosecution).**

**BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**


CRAIG R. FEINBERG
Program and Resource Administrator
(703) 308-9797

**Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier &
Neustadt, P.C.
1940 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314**

CRF:llf